

Amendments to the Drawings

The attached Fig. 7 of drawings includes changes to Fig. 7. This attached Fig. 7 replaces the previously submitted replacement sheet containing Fig. 7 of drawings.

Remarks

The Examiner has objected to the specification on the ground that the text and amended Fig. 7 introduced new matter into the disclosure. This is respectfully traversed. Support for the previously submitted changes is found, for example, at page 1, line 28, through page 2, line 2.

However, since the objected to language and drawing is not required to support the claims, applicant has again amended the specification and submits a replacement sheet containing an amended Fig. 7. Therefore, this objection should be withdrawn.


Claims 4 through 9 and 11-14 were indicated as allowed. In contrast, claims 1-3, 5-8 and 10 were rejected for various reasons set forth in the Office Action. All such rejections are respectfully traversed.

However, to solely facilitate the prosecution of this application, claims 1-3, 8 and 10 have been cancelled. In addition, claims 5, 6 and 7 have been amended to depend from allowed independent claim 4. Therefore, claims 5, 6 and 7 should be allowable because each of these claims sets forth an independently patentable combination of method acts. Allowed claims 13 and 14 depended from claim 10. These claims are now presented in independent form in view of the cancellation of their parent claim 10.

In view of this amendment, all of the claims remaining in the application should be allowed and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

By 
David P. Petersen
Registration No. 28,106

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 595-5300
Facsimile: (503) 228-9446